

Orbit and Gravity Field Solutions from Swarm GPS Observations

source: <https://doi.org/10.7892/boris.72571> | downloaded: 5.5.2023

A. Jäggi¹, C. Dahle², D. Arnold¹, U. Meyer¹, J. van den IJssel³

¹*Astronomical Institute, University of Bern, Switzerland*

²*German Research Centre for Geosciences, Potsdam, Germany*

³*Faculty of Aerospace Engineering, Delft University of Technology, Delft, The Netherlands*

26th IUGG General Assembly

June 22–July 2, 2015

Prague, Czech Republic

The Swarm Mission

- **Orbit:**

- Low Earth Orbiter; near-polar
- Swarm-A/C: $h=480-300$ km, $i=87.3^\circ$, 1.4° side-by-side sep.
- Swarm-B: 530 km altitude, $i=88^\circ$
- 90° difference in orbital plane between lower pair and higher satellite after 3 years



© ESA

- **Payload:**

- Magnetometers, electric field instrument, GPS receiver, accelerometer, star-trackers, laser retro-reflector


- **Secondary mission objective:**

- Measuring the Earth's gravity field (GPS hl-SST observing system)

Contents


- **Swarm Kinematic Orbit Determination**
 - In-flight antenna calibration
 - Ionosphere disturbances
- **Static Gravity Field Recovery**
 - Mitigation of systematic errors
 - Comparison with GRACE GPS hl-SST
- **Time-Variable Gravity Field Recovery**
 - Fit of annual signals
 - Analysis over the Amazon basin

Empirical Antenna Phase Center Variation Maps




Differences in “old” GPS data may be compensated by a proper in-flight calibration and consequently do not affect the orbit and gravity results.

SLR Validation of Kinematic Swarm Orbits




Mean = 2.7 mm

RMS = 32.5 mm



Mean = 1.0 mm



RMS = 27.4 mm




Mean = 0.6 mm

RMS = 31.1 mm



Ionosphere Disturbances



- **Random errors:**
 - Overall RMS is rather high
 - Dominated by polar areas
- **Systematic errors:**
 - Along geomagnetic equator
 - May be reduced by additional data screening ($dL4/dt$ criterion)



Gravity Field Solutions – two months of data




- Quality of gravity field recovery is very similar for all three Swarm satellites. This is only valid for the first 2 months with similar orbits.
- Virtually the same results when using “old” or “new” GPS data thanks to the in-flight calibration of the Swarm GPS antennas.



Bi-Monthly Gravity Field Solutions up to d/o 90

Original GPS Data



Screened GPS Data





Mar/Apr
2014




Jun/Jul
2014



Nov/Dec
2014




Differences wrt GOCO05S
400 km Gauss smoothing applied




Bi-Monthly Gravity Field Solutions


Mar/Apr 2014



Jun/Jul 2014



Nov/Dec 2014




Impact of screening the raw RINEX GPS data files (dL4/dt criterion):


- Difference degree amplitudes are significantly improved, especially for periods with strong ionosphere conditions (spring, fall).
- Very low degrees ($n < 15$) tend to be weakened due to the very “crude” data screening.

Static Gravity Field Solutions

Original GPS Data
(13 months)





Screened GPS Data
(18 months)



(Differences wrt GOCO05S, 400 km Gauss smoothing adopted)

Systematic signatures along the geomagnetic equator may be efficiently reduced for static Swarm gravity field recovery when screening the raw RINEX GPS data files with the $dL4/dt$ criterion.


Static Gravity Field solutions



(Differences wrt GOCO05S)

Systematic signatures along the geomagnetic equator cause the artificial “bumps” and may be reduced for static Swarm gravity field recovery when screening the raw RINEX GPS data files with the $dL4/dt$ criterion.

Comparison with GRACE hl-SST Solutions



Processed data:


- Dec 2013 – Nov 2014
- Swarm-A/C
- GRACE-A/B (GPS-only)

Results:





- Similar performance for long wavelengths
- Worse performance for short wavelengths

Worse performance for higher degrees is to be expected due to the different orbital heights. The good agreement at the low degrees is very encouraging.

Time-Variable Gravity Field Solutions



Time-Variable Gravity Field Solutions



“True” signal:

- GFZ-RL05a (DDK5-filtered)

“Comparison” signal:

- GFZ-RL05a (500km Gauss)

Swarm signal:

- 90x90 solutions (Gauss-filtered)

Result:

- Best agreement for Swarm-C

Summary and Conclusions

- SLR RMS of 3cm for Swarm kinematic orbits.
- Ionosphere disturbances affect orbit and gravity field solutions.
- GPS data screening for large ionosphere changes helps to reduce the geomagnetic signatures.
 - But also weakens low degrees.
- Very low degree coefficients are of similar quality as from GRACE GPS hl-SST.
- “Free-preview” on largest time-variable signals is encouraging despite the still very short time series.

Summary and Conclusions

Thanks a lot for your attention!